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Dedication

This issue of Critical Disability Discourse/Discours critiques dans le champ du handicap is dedicated to the memory of Peter Mackey, a talented scholar and a wonderful friend. As a PhD student in the Critical Disability Studies program at York University, Peter promoted a spirit of collegiality and support among students. He is missed and remembered by his parents, Barb and Jim Mackey of Newfoundland, and his many friends.
Introduction

Welcome to Volume 6 of Critical Disability Discourse/Discours critiques dans le champ du handicap. We are very happy to present four important contributions to the field of critical disability studies from students from Canada and the United States. We are also pleased to introduce a reviews section, featuring graduate student perspectives on recent literature in critical disability studies.
Overview

The four articles we present in this volume present critical perspectives on a variety of crucial and often underrepresented issues relevant to critical disability studies. In the first article, *The Mad and the Bad: The Lethal Use of Force against Mad People by Toronto Police*, Tracy Mack collects the stories of the murders by police of mad people in Toronto. Mack’s analysis focuses on the intersections of racism and ableism in violent police interactions with racialized mad people. She shows that the lack of truly independent oversight of the Toronto police force has prevented changes that might hold police accountable for their actions and protect racialized and mad people.

The second article, *Colonialism and the Rupturing of Indigenous Worldviews of Impairment and Relational Interdependence: A Beginning Dialogue towards Reclamation and Social Transformation*, is by Heather Norris. Norris discusses Indigenous perspectives of disability, noting that while impairment was present within Indigenous communities prior to colonization, it was never accompanied by cultural ideologies of lack and deficiency. Instead, Indigenous communities understood all diverse beings as interdependent, making it impossible to construct a hierarchical dichotomy between those with impairments and those without. Norris argues that the understanding of impairment as a negative, individual property causing disablement is rooted in a colonialist worldview, and serves to support the practices of categorization and exclusion that undergird the neocolonial state.

In the third article, *Public Choices: An Examination of Policy Statements about Prenatal Testing*, Anjali Truitt analyses the use of the language of choice by the disability community and the medical community in framing prenatal genetic testing. Truitt argues that policy statements represent an important opportunity for cross-disability coalitions to engage with those seeking
information about prenatal genetic testing, and educate the general public about “disability as valued diversity.”

The final article is *Condoms and Contradictions: Assessing Sexual Health Knowledge in Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, and Queer Youth Labelled with Intellectual Disabilities*, by Ciann Larose Wilson, Zack Marshall, Sarah Flicker, Alex McClelland, Tess Vo, Denise Nepveux, Devon Proudfoot, Stephanie Nixon and Trevor Hart. In it, Wilson and her coauthors describe the results of a study they undertook with LGBTQ youth to assess their sexual health knowledge and decision making skills. They found that a multi-faceted approach using interviews, arts-based approaches, and visual card sorting enabled them to develop a very nuanced picture of participants’ sexual knowledge and practices.
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